

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/632,933	08/04/2000	Joakim Persson	040070-692	3920
POTOMAC PATENT GROUP PLLC P. O. BOX 855			EXAMINER	
			ZAND, KAMBIZ	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, VA 22101			2132	TATER NOMBER
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Off:- A 4' O	09/632,933	PERSSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kambiz Zand	2132			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mean patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r n. a reply within the statutory minimum of third eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>@</u>	03/15/2005 (RCE filed).				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.				
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	<u> </u>				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to		•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
		$\epsilon \hat{x}$			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/632,933 Page 2

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03/15/2005 has been entered.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35,U.S.Code not included in this section can be found in the prior office action.
- 3. The prior office actions are incorporated herein by reference. In particular, the observations with respect to claim language, and response to previously presented arguments.
- 4. Claims 1 and 6 have been amended.
- 5. Claims 1-12 are pending.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 02/20/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2132

As per applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 6, examiner refers

Applicant to the following remarks:

In response to applicant's arguments, the recitation "wherein the ACO is a number from which a ciphering key for the communication device is derived, and which is never communicated to any other communication device" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

However examiner for the time being is modifying the rejection taken into consideration the above limitation.

Examiner however strongly suggest Applicant to the following suggestions in order to expedite the prosecution time:

- a) The inclusion of the above phrase into body of the claim.
- b) Added detailed with respect to definition of the last two/three lines of the independent claims 1 and 6 respectively.

Art Unit: 2132

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. Claim 1-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kruse (US005148007A) in view of Applicant Admittance Prior Art (AAPA).

As per claims 1 and 6, Krus discloses a method of generating an authentication ciphering offset (see "AP1," the equivalent of an ACO and see "V2," the at least one parameter derived from earlier-computed ACOs in column 3, lines 1-16 and see col.2, lines 11-32). Krus do not expressly disclose "wherein the ACO is a number from which a ciphering key for the communication device is derived, and which is never communicated to any other communication device". However AAPA disclose such limitations as prior art (see pages 10-12 of the Applicant's response dated 02/20/2005). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Krus's method and system by inclusion of ACO in order to have a ciphering key parameter that does not communicated to other devices (see AAPA's ACO definition in the response).

As per claims 2 and 7, Kruse discloses the generation of X_k (see "V2," the equivalent of X_k col.3, lines 1-16); and applying a commutative binary operation between X_k and a previous value, ACOk-1 (See "exclusive-OR element XOR" "V2" and "A1" respectively in column 3, lines 1-16).

Art Unit: 2132

As per claims 3 and 8, Kruse discloses the generation of a kth value of ACO (see "a subsequent generation", s (ACOk), "exclusive-OR element XOR" (symbol), "A1" (ACOk-1), and "V2" (X_k) in Column 3, lines 1-16).

As per claims 4-5 and 9-10, Kruse discloses the sum as a bitwise modulo-2 sum performed by a bit-wise exclusive-OR (XOR) operation (see exclusive-OR element XOR" in column 2, lines 1 1-32 and in column 3, lines 1-16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. Claims 11-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kruse (US005148007A) in view of Applicant Admittance Prior Art (AAPA) as applied to claims 1-10 above, and further in view of Kunito et al (U500657763381). As per the teachings applied above, Kruse discloses a communications device. Kruse fails to expressly disclose that this communications device includes either a real-time device or a non-real-time device. However, Kunito et al discloses these features (Kunito et al abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kruse by including a real-time device or a non-real-time device as per the teachings of Kunito et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so in order to include various subscribers in a communication system in which mutual authentication takes place (Kruse - abstract).

Art Unit: 2132

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kambiz Zand whose telephone number is (703) 306-4169. The examiner can normally reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00-5:00). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (703) 305-1830. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned as (703) 872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kambiz Zand

03/29/2005

Page 6